

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/765,901	01/07/1997	EVELYNE PRAT	004900-148	6723	
21839	7590 07/07/2003				
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P			EXAMINER		
=	OST OFFICE BOX 1404 LEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		HENDRICKSON, STUART L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1754		
·			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Offic Action Summa	erv.	062991	<u> </u>	at					
		Examiner		Group Art Unit					
-The MAILING DATE of this communi	cation appears (on the cover sheet be	eneath the con	respondence addre	ess—				
Period for Reply		7							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EPLY IS SET TO	EXPIRE 5	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAILIN	IG DATE				
 Extensions of time may be available under the proving from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply is specified above, such period for Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three most term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	hirty (30) days, a repi od shall, by default, e or reply will, by statut	by within the statutory miniexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from	imum of thirty (30)	days will be considered	d timely. n.				
Status	2/1								
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Z012K								
☐ This action is FINAL.			•						
 Since this application is in condition for alloaccordance with the practice under Ex part 	owance except for te Quayle, 1935 (or formal matters, pros D.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as to	the merits is close	⊭ d in				
Disposition of Claims				•					
X. Claim(s)	is/are pen	is/are pending in the application.							
Of the above claim(s) 31- 47,46,	is/are with	is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
Claim(s) 31-47,46,	is/are allo	wed.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
12-30,47-49, 51,	is/are reje	is/are rejected.							
D Claim(s)	is/are obje	is/are objected to.							
□ Claim(s)			are subjec	at to restriction or ele	ection				
Application Papers		•	requireme	nt	,000				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed or	1	is _ approved _] disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on		I to by the Examiner	•						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan		•							
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a Examiner.								
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)									
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for for	reign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–((d).						
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:									
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
☐ Centified copies of the priority documents	☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))									
*Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)				•					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-14	149. Paper No(s).	□ Int	nd w Summon	- 570 449					
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		Int rvi w Summary, PTO-413							
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev	•	□ N tice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-152							
I Notice of Dialisperson's Faterit Drawing new	1 W, P10-948	□ Oth	er	*					
Office Action Summary									

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. 3)

Serial Number: 08/765,901

Art Unit: 1754

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. The request filed on 11/29/02 for Continued Examination (RCE) based on parent Application No. 08/765901 is acceptable.

Page 2

Claim 45 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. It recites further addition of aluminate, which appears to contradict the silica preamble and 'consisting of' in independent claim 39. Clarification is requested.

Claims 22-30, 47, 48, 49, 51 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ito et al.

Ito teaches making a 30% silica suspension in column 10 line 50. No difference is seen in the product; where the examiner has found substantially the same product as claimed in the art, the burden is upon the applicant to show a difference in the product, not on the examiner to show the same process; In re Brown 173 USPQ 685 and In re Marosi 218 USPQ 289.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-53 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, noting that the Received 1510 as an available reference

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (703) 308-2539.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754